STATISTICAL TESTS FOR HYPERSPECTRAL CODED ACQUISITION ANALYSIS

Trung-Tin Dinh^{1,2}, Hervé Carfantan¹, Antoine Monmayrant², Simon Lacroix² ¹IRAP, Université de Toulouse/CNRS/CNES, France ; ²LAAS-CNRS, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, France <u>tdinh@irap.omp.eu</u>, <u>herve.carfantan@irap.omp.eu</u>, <u>antoine.monmayrant@laas.fr</u>, <u>simon.lacroix@laas.fr</u>

Abstract: Co-designed and programmable hyperspectral imagers use computational imaging to reduce acquisition time of hyperspectral scenes. They rely on measuring one or a few coded images and using a model to estimate the hyperspectral scene, reducing data and acquisition time. The accuracy of the estimation depends on the adequacy of the model to the observed scene. In this study we examine a separability assumption of the scene over homogeneous regions and verifies the model using statistical tests from the coded data.

1200

1000

800

600

400

200

1000

800

600

400

200

Amplitude

Amplitude

1. Hyperspectral imaging



4. Intraclass spectral variability

T Université de Toulouse



2. Coded data acquisition by DD-CASSI^(*) [1]



Endmember Endmember $\psi_n = (\mathbf{s} \mathbf{n}_n \mathbf{n}_n \mathbf{s})$ $\mathbf{s} \mathbf{n}_n \mathbf{u}_n$ \mathbf{n} pixel of obtained data - from panchromatic image: $\hat{\psi}_n^{(p)} = (\mathbf{1}^T \overline{\mathbf{s}})^{-1} p_n \longrightarrow n^{th}$ pixel of panchromatic image $\hat{\psi}_n^{(p)} = (\mathbf{1}^T \overline{\mathbf{s}})^{-1} p_n \longrightarrow n^{th}$ pixel of panchromatic image $\hat{\psi}_n^{(p)} = (\mathbf{1}^T \overline{\mathbf{s}})^{-1} p_n \longrightarrow n^{th}$ pixel of panchromatic image $\hat{\psi}_n^{(p)} = (\mathbf{1}^T \overline{\mathbf{s}})^{-1} p_n \longrightarrow n^{th}$ pixel of panchromatic image

5. Noise model & statistical analysis

Detector noise ~ Poisson law :

- \approx Gaussian additive noise for high flux
- Stationarity for low flux variations

× Unlikely on o_n : high flux variation across isolated spectral bands.

More likely on d_n : sum of several spectral bands reduces flux variations.













- Acquisition time ↓
- Data volume ↓
 SNR ↑

Coded data acquisition model Coded data $-d = Ho + b \rightarrow Noise$ Filtering cube -Hyperspectral cube

(*) Double Disperser Coded Aperture Snapshot Spectral Imager

3. Reconstruction by SA^(**) method [2]



ECDF of $(\boldsymbol{o}_n - \overline{\boldsymbol{s}}\psi_n)/\hat{\sigma}_o$

 $\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{2} -1 = \frac{1}{2} = \frac$

6. Validation by statistical tests



Percentages map of validation of the KS test on the residuals: (a) without variability, (b) $\hat{\psi}_n^{(d)}$, (c) $\hat{\psi}_n^{(p)}$

Using statistical test to:

Obtained results:

- Validate our variability assumptions and our noise model
- Detect if we assign an erroneous reference spectrum to a pixel. \rightarrow Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_0: r_n/\hat{\sigma} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

 $rac{r}{r}^{h}$ pixel of coded data of class k

✓ Quick and easy

Reconstruction quality depends on segmentation



✓ Majority of the scene : well reconstructed
 ➤ Small regions or isolated pixels : correction required
 → Reallocating : supervised classification type approach with reference spectra ← large homogeneous regions
 (**) Separability Assumption

 $\hookrightarrow \text{Reference spectrum of class } \ell$

→ low SNR data

Desired results $\begin{cases} \ell = k : \text{ accepted at } 95\% \\ \text{Other cases: rejected} \end{cases}$

- Neglecting the variability (a) or using $\hat{\psi}_n^{(d)}$ (b): not satisfactory.
- Using $\hat{\psi}_n^{(p)}(c)$: rather satisfactory, except \rightarrow classes with similar reference spectrum

References

[1] Single-shot compressive spectral imaging with a dual-disperser architecture, M. E. Gehm et al., Oct 2007
 [2] Optimized coded aperture for frugal hyperspectral image recovery using a dual-disperser system, E. Hemsley, I. Ardi et al., Nov 2020
 [3] Endmember Variability in hyperspectral image unmixing, L. Drumetz, PhD thesis, Oct 2016